

A Storm Is Brewing: China Now at the Crosshairs of U.S. Trade Sanctions for Keeping Yuan Undervalued

By: Xingjian Zhao
Associate Attorney

Diaz, Reus & Targ, LLP
Plaza 66, Tower 1, 39th Floor
1266 W. Nanjing Road
Shanghai 200040, China

+86 21 6103 7438 phone
+86 21 6103 7439 fax

xzhao@diazreus.com
www.diazreus.com

Diaz, Reus & Targ, LLP
2600 Bank of America Tower
100 Southeast 2nd Street
Miami, Florida 33131

305.375.9220 phone
305.375.8050 fax

mdiaz@diazreus.com
www.diazreus.com

China may soon be forced to pay the high price of its cheap currency. On September 24, 2010, the Ways and Means Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives approved H.R. 2378, the Currency Reform for Fair Trade Act of 2010. This Act amends title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 “to clarify that fundamental exchange-rate misalignment by any foreign nation is actionable under United States countervailing and antidumping duty laws.” The bipartisan measure is expected to win easy passage when voted on by the entire House, although its prospect in the Senate is less certain due to the closeness of the November elections.

This bill reflects U.S. legislators’ growing frustration with China’s protectionist attitude towards its currency. American trade groups contend that the Yuan is undervalued by as much as 40 percent against the U.S. Dollar, increasing the relative cost of American exports in China and making the price of Chinese imports artificially low in the United States. Many see this disparity as a major component of the U.S.’s large trade deficit with China. Notably, Nobel laureate economist Paul Krugman estimates that China’s currency policy reduces the U.S. GDP by an annual rate of 1.4%. Conversely, other studies show that allowing the Yuan to appreciate to its actual market

value would not only enable U.S. manufacturers to be more competitive overseas, but will also create upwards of 500,000 manufacturing jobs in the United States. Rancor for China’s currency policies has exacerbated in recent months as a result of China’s continuing failure to deliver on its recent promise to move to a more flexible exchange-rate system. Since making such a pledge in mid-July at the Group of 20 summit in Toronto, the Yuan has risen less than 2% against the U.S. Dollar.

The most important element of the bill gives the Department of Commerce plenary power to impose trade sanctions on foreign governments that engage in manipulative currency practices. As a general matter, under the existing U.S. countervailing duty law, remedial tariffs can be imposed on imports benefitting from foreign government subsidies for export, if it is shown that imports benefitting from such subsidies cause or threaten injury to a U.S. industry producing the same or similar products. To date, however, the Commerce Department has declined to investigate and classify foreign government currency practices as a convertible subsidy. The bill reverses the Commerce Department’s longstanding reluctance to find a foreign government culpable of imposing an “export





A Storm Is Brewing: China Now at the Crosshairs of U.S. Trade Sanctions for Keeping Yuan Undervalued

subsidy” if the subsidy in question is not limited exclusively to the circumstances of export (i.e., non-exporters may benefit from a particular currency policy). The bill precludes the Commerce Department from imposing this bright-line rule, and instead requires the Department to consider all the facts in making its export contingency determination. In effect, the Commerce Department may no longer dismiss a claim based on the single fact that a subsidy is available in circumstances in addition to export.

Moreover, the bill provides important guidance to the Department in assessing whether a “benefit” exists in circumstances involving material currency undervaluation resulting from government intervention. Specifically, the Department is directed to assess “benefit” in terms of the additional currency the exporter receives as a result of the undervaluation, and to use widely-accepted IMF standards for determining the extent of undervaluation. In all cases, however, the bill, as amended, preserves the Commerce Department’s authority – and responsibility – to consider each case on its facts and make a determination as to whether all the necessary legal elements of an export subsidy are met. In sum, the Currency Reform for Fair Trade Act aims to make U.S. commercial law and trade policy more consistent with prevailing WTO norms that tend to be more protectionist and less tolerant of manipulative

practices such as currency undervaluation. Consequently, under the Act, countervailing duties may only be imposed when the Commerce Department finds, based on an assessment of all the facts, that the WTO criteria for an export subsidy have been satisfied – that is, only if: (1) the foreign government’s interventions in the currency markets result in a “financial contribution;” (2) a “benefit” is thereby conferred; and (3) the resulting subsidy is “contingent on export.”

As a result of its near-universal appeal to diverse constituencies, support for the bill has been strong across the political spectrum. Token opposition during the House hearings has come mainly from those who fear retaliatory sanctions by China on U.S. exports. If ultimately passed by the Senate and signed into law by President Obama, this legislation sends an important message to China that the U.S. will no longer tolerate manipulation of the Yuan. However, the legislation would apply to only a relatively small share of the total trade between China and the United States. As mentioned, only products that are subject to countervailing duties will be penalized. Currently, fewer than 60 products from China are subject to antidumping or countervailing duties. Moreover, the Act does not by itself impose duties in any particular instance, for it would merely authorize the Commerce Department to treat currency manipulation as an illegal export subsidy in countervailing duty investigations. Consequently, there is now growing support for even more aggressive action against Chinese currency manipulation, such as a flat 25% tariff across all Chinese imports.

A storm is brewing, and China must now seek shelter.

Miami-based Diaz Reus and Targ, LLP law firm focuses on trade, financial, commercial and corporate transactions, tax, immigration, litigation, and arbitration matters. For more information, visit www.diazreus.com.